



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

٠	COMMISSIONER FOR LATE
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	www.usnto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/821,893	03/30/2001	David A. Brown	BROWN 3-3-4-8	8920
27964	7590 09/08/2004		EXAMINER	
HITT GAI	NES P.C.	COLEMAN, ERIC		
P.O. BOX 83 RICHARDS	32570 ON, TX 75083		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ori, 111 7000		2183	
			DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



			$\int_{\mathbb{R}}$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	40
	09/821,893	BROWN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Eric Coleman	2183	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. a reply within the statutory minimum of th period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become a	reply be timely filed inty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communicati	on.
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.	•	is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	hdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the country. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya orrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in a priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
6. Patent and Trademark Office FOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Offi	ce Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 090420	004

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/821,893

Art Unit: 2183

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maher III (patent No. 6,381,242)(hereafter Maher '242).
- 3. Mahler '242 taught the invention substantially as claimed including a data processing ("DP") system comprising:
- a) Context memory subsystem (342) configured to maintain a plurality of argument signature buffers, each of the plurality of argument signature buffers (366) being associated with a corresponding context and containing a corresponding argument (e.g., see col. 9, lines 57);
- b) Pattern processing engine configured to dynamically modify an argument and generate a transmit command as a function of a context associated with the modifier argument (e.g., see col. 7, line 64-col. 8, line 41);
- c) Output interface subsystem (120) configured to receive the transmit command, and transmit the modified argument based upon the transmit command to an external device (e.g., see col. 8, lines 2-59).
- 4. Maher '242 did not expressly detail (claims 1,8,15) that the argument signature buffers comprised registers. One ordinary skill however, would have been motivated to

Application/Control Number: 09/821,893

Art Unit: 2183

use registers for the argument signature buffers to take advantage of the access speed of registers to provide quick access to the data that was scanned to determine the contexts.

- 5. As to the further limitations of claim 15, Maher '242 taught internal function bus (126,374) (e.g., see figs. 2,3) and data buffer controller that stores configuration information into a portion of the context memory subsystem associated with the corresponding context (e.g., see col. 9, lines 6-57).
- 6. As per claims 2,9,16, Maher '242 taught the data of modified argument containing external device command, routing parameter and protocol data unit classification (e.g., see col. 5, line 58-col. 6, line 19).
- 7. As per claims 3,10,17, Maher taught the signatures of any arbitrary length and begin anywhere and cross boundaries of the packet (e.g., see col. 10, lines 47-67). Therefore one of ordinary skill would have been motivated to use a 64 bit argument which is within the range of length taught by Maher to take advantage of advancing technology with 64 or more bit wide buses.
- 8. As per claims 4,11,18 Maher taught transmitting portions of the protocol data unit and modified argument to the external device (e.g., see col. 7, line 64-col. 8, line 6). As per claims 5,12,19, Maher taught the external device comprised a routing switching processor (e.g., see fig. 1, and col. 4, lines 39).
- 9. As per claims 6,13,20, Maher taught modifying the argument based on the content of a protocol unit (e.g., see col. 5, lines 37-57).

Art Unit: 2183

10. As per claims 7,14,21, the pattern processing engine was configured to employ a sequence of operating instructions defined by functional programming language. Maher taught the processor comprising a general-purpose microprocessor or custom ASICs. e.g., see col. 2, lines 13-41). The use of these processors would required use of at least one functional language for programming the processors to perform the fast path operations.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Maher (patent No. 6,654,373) disclosed a content aware network (e.g., see abstract).

Marshall (patent No. 6,728,839) disclosed an attribute based memory prefetching technique (e.g., see abstract).

Wright (patent No. 6,442,669) disclosed an architecture for a process complex and an arrayed pipelined processing engine (e.g., see abstract).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Coleman whose telephone number is (703) 305-9674. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Chan can be reached on (703) 305-9712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EC

ERIC COLEMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER